Kolak, Shari

From:

Tracy, Carol Hefferan (DEQ) < TracyC1@michigan.gov>

Sent: To:

Subject:

Thursday, May 01, 2014 9:50 AM Wagaw, Wally (DEQ); Kolak, Shari RE: question - MDEQ comments FYR



Shari, you are right – my mistake. Don't know why I X-ed that out. TW-4 is a monitoring well-(regardless what its original intention was) and it was over RAOs for benzene during the fall 2013 sampling event. So, that would make 8 locations exceeding the Benzene RAO, right?

I am working on getting the trend charts fixed and will send them over to you later today. Have done 3 so far, and so far, I've been able to make all the blue lines connect.

Wally, I'll be deleting some of the old charts to avoid confusion and putting the final ones into a new folder.

Carol

Carol Tracy, Senior Geologist
Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
Superfund Section, Remediation and Redevelopment Division
Geology and Defense Site Management Unit
tracyc1@michigan.gov
Phone Number: 517-284-5161

From: Wagaw, Wally (DEQ)

Sent: Thursday, May 01, 2014 10:29 AM

To: Kolak, Shari

Cc: Tracy, Carol Hefferan (DEQ)

Subject: RE: question - MDEQ comments FYR

Hi Shari,

I think that was one of Carol's comments. My guess is TW-4 was removed from the list because it is a temporary well and NOT a monitoring well.

Carol, could you address Shari's question if different from the above? Thanks.

Wally

From: Kolak, Shari [mailto:kolak.shari@epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 28, 2014 5:12 PM

To: Wagaw, Wally (DEQ)

Subject: question - MDEQ comments FYR

Wally,

In the second paragraph on page 17 of the draft 2nd FYR, "TW-4" was removed from the sentence "Seven monitoring wells (P-46, P-48, P-49, P-50, P-51, P-52 and P-53) sampled contained benzene concentrations exceeded the RAOs" The Sept. 2013 data says that benzene was detected at 270 ug/L in TW-4. Can you find out why TW-4 was removed? Thanks, shari

Shari Kolak Remedial Project Manager U.S. EPA, Region 5 77 W. Jackson Chicago, IL 60604 312-886-6151 wk kolak.shari@epa.gov